

RECALL OF ADVERTISING ON DIGITAL VIDEO PLATFORMS & CHANNELS

The multi-thousand crore question

(A whitepaper on the impact of advertising on Digital Video platforms based on an empirical study conducted by R K SWAMY Centre for Study of Indian Markets)



PREPARED BY:
S Narasimhan and Ashish Karnad
September, 2025

If you advertise on
Digital Video platforms and
channels, this White Paper will be
relevant for you.

Contents

Executive summary	02
Who did we meet?	04
Sample Covered	05
Video Watching Habits and Ad Viewing Preferences	06
Language Preferences	06
Brand Recall Summary	07
Brand Recall by Platform Usage	10
Brand Recall by Demographics	11
Mobile Video Viewership for top Apps and the time spent on them	13
Hours vs Number of Brands Recalled	13
Ad viewing habits	14
WhatsApp video behaviour	15

Executive Summary

The consumption of digital videos is nothing short of eye-popping.

The vast supply pipeline beyond TV and Movie production companies now also includes social platforms, millions of creators, you and me. Peer-to-peer sharing of videos happens every minute. No longer is viewing restricted to a location like home. Viewing is on the go, virtually anywhere. The mobile is the most watched screen.

Watching videos online has become the No. 1 pastime surpassing all other forms of entertainment. According to our study, 93% of respondents watch videos on their mobile. They spent 2.17 hours daily in this activity, on average.

Advertisers are pouring thousands of crores of rupees in advertising on digital video platforms and channels. The estimate of ad spends on digital videos ranges from Rs. 12,000 Cr to Rs. 22,000 Cr. The individual context of viewership of videos is as varied as there are viewers. The video platforms offer advertisers an array of formats, inventory, buy types and packages mapped on to audience profiles, interests, intent and geographic options. They hold out the promise of superior targeting. Delivery metrics, almost all supply-side in nature and self-certified, include Impressions, Views, View-through-rate (VTR), Click-through-rate (CTR), Cost-per-thousand views (CPM) or Click-per-view (CPV). They are designed to give an idea of what is being bought.

Is the advertising effective?

Advertisers spend money to meet basic objectives – increase Brand Awareness and Recall, communicate content to persuade consumers, educate the audience on various offerings, and improve loyalty to their brands and offerings. All of this starts with Brand Awareness and Recall.

We set out to study Awareness and Recall of brands advertised on Digital Videos. The R K SWAMY Centre for Study of Indian Markets, under its AIM (Advertising Impact Measurement) series, launched an all India study covering 3,000 respondents in a single month, between the ages of 18-50 years, split equally by gender and across income groups in 10 metros. One-on-one interviews were conducted with each of the respondents. Their viewing devices were checked to ensure veracity of screen-time use. We posed questions to understand digital video consumption. (Answers to the detailed questionnaire are provided in this paper.)

The Findings

The findings of this study are eye-opening, summarized as follows:

1. The recall of brands, across the board, was poor. More than 600 brands were recalled, but at less than 1% for each brand.
2. Only 11 brands have more than 3% recall. These were: Zepto, Zomato, Meesho, Nescafe, Flipkart, Amazon, Swiggy, Blinkit, Country Delight, Rummy Circle and Dream 11.
3. Respondents recalled only about 1.5 brands on an average – the average is more or less similar across platforms.
4. Respondents struggled to recall specific brand names, often referring to ads by generic terms like “mobile ad” / “clothes ad,” highlighting the difficulty in remembering and accurately identifying the brands.
5. The most frequently recalled brands are associated with online shopping, online food ordering/delivery, groceries and coffee.
6. Most recalled attributes reflect the features/benefits of the online brand, like “fast delivery”, potentially influenced by their past experience with the brand.
7. Very few were able to recall specific details of the creative content itself.
8. Nearly three out of five respondents found the ads served to them irrelevant.
9. Three out of four WhatsApp videos received are viewed and forwarded.
10. Three out of five viewers prefer to skip ads on Digital Videos, if they can.
11. YouTube was the highest used platform for watching videos, followed by Facebook and Instagram.

What can explain the findings?

Several hypothesis can be deliberated to understand the low recall of advertising on Digital Videos:

- a) The Digital video landscape is vast and lacks a concentrated focal point.
- b) The impressions served are unique to each viewer, based on the platform's appreciation of viewer preference. Frequency of views of a single message is unclear, as this is not measured.
- c) The Digital Video viewing is not appointment viewing, as was/is the case with primetime viewing on Television. The viewing is very scattered, not accumulating a mass audience at any one time.
- d) Advertising is easily skipped on Digital Videos.
- e) The method of planning and buying impressions/time on digital videos may have to be reviewed from the point of adequacy and impact.




All of these merit deep introspection and investigation. This white paper for now provides a detailed insight into recall of brands advertised and how people consume Digital Videos and view advertising that appears on them.

The Study also assessed ad content recall, not covered in this paper. This can be discussed on request.

Detailed findings

Who did we meet?

A robust sample of 3000 respondents were interviewed in person one-on-one by Hansa Research. A specific questionnaire was administered and the viewing devices of every respondent was checked to gauge the veracity of OTT apps subscribed to and viewed.




» Sample

Location	Sample per day	Total Sample
Bengaluru	10	300
Chennai	10	300
Delhi	10	300
Hyderabad	10	300
Kochi	10	300
Kolkata	10	300
Ludhiana	10	300
Mumbai	10	300
Patna	10	300
Pune	10	300
	Total	3000

Video Watching Habits and Ad Viewing Preferences

Mobile phones are the dominant device of choice with an average viewing time of 2.17 hours per day. Most preferred viewing videos in their native languages. Incidence of ad skipping while viewing is high.




Video Watching Frequency

- ▶ 93% watch videos daily on their mobile phones.
- ▶ Average Daily Viewing Time: 2.17 hours



Language Preferences

- ▶ Regional languages rule the roost



Ad Viewing

- ▶ 77% of participants skip ads during video playback.

Language Preferences by Location

	All	Bengaluru	Chennai	Delhi	Hyderabad	Kochi	Kolkata	Ludhiana	Mumbai	Patna	Pune
Base	3041	300	303	306	301	309	300	312	307	302	301
Hindi	64	30	4	100	14	34	77	99	100	100	76
English	41	36	61	28	20	63	41	8	80	9	59
Tamil	15	25	99	-	-	23	1	-	0	-	-
Marathi	15	1	-	0	1	-	0	1	82	-	61
Telugu	12	21	5	-	98	1	1	-	0	-	0
Malayalam	10	1	2	-	-	99	-	-	-	-	-
Kannada	10	96	0	-	0	0	-	-	3	-	-
Bengali	9	0	-	0	-	-	88	0	-	-	1
Punjabi	8	-	-	6	-	-	1	69	-	-	-
Bhojpuri	2	-	-	7	-	-	2	1	2	4	-
Gujarati	1	-	-	1	0	-	-	-	5	-	0
Odia	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0	-	-

» Brand Recall Status

Average no. of brands recalled by one person

1.5

The most frequently recalled brands are associated with online groceries, online shopping, food delivery, and coffee.



Platform Usage Summary

A lion's share of ads were viewed on YouTube followed by META.



Other Platforms on Which Ads Were Seen	%
Don't Know/ Can't say	11
Hotstar	0.3
OTT app	0.3
Jio TV mobile	0.2
Cricbuzz	0.1
News18	0.1
Spotify	0.1
Jio Cinema	0.1
MX Player	0.1
Chrome	0.1
News app	0.1
Sony	0.1
ABP	0.1



While more than 600 Brands were recalled, only 11 had more than 3% of the audience recalling them.

» Brand Recall by Platform Usage

A greater percentage of the respondents recall seeing a Brand on YouTube than on other platforms perhaps due to greater time spent on the platform.



Brands	Overall	Youtube	Instagram	Facebook
Zepto	13	19	6	9
Zomato	6	8	2	4
Meesho	5	5	6	5
Nescafe	5	7	1	2
Flipkart	4	3	8	8
Amazon	4	5	3	7
Swiggy	3	4	2	2
Blinkit	3	4	3	3
Country Delight	3	4	1	1
Rummy Circle	3	3	3	1
Dream 11	3	4	5	1
Zupee	2	3	4	0
Britannia	2	1	1	8
Surf excel	2	2	1	2
Samsung	2	2	3	1
Dove	2	2	1	3
Colgate	2	1	1	3

Most recall is happening on YouTube – in direct correlation to usage of platform

» Brand Recall by Demographics

Q-Com brands have a greater skew towards a younger respondents when it comes to recall.

Top brands recalled	Overall	Male	Female	18-25 Years	26-29 Years	30-35 Years	36-45 Years	46-55 Years	56 Years Or Older
Zepto	13	13	12	20	12	11	9	9	7
Zomato	6	7	4	5	8	5	4	6	8
Meesho	5	3	6	5	3	5	4	6	4
Nescafe	5	4	5	7	4	3	4	3	4
Flipkart	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	5
Amazon	4	3	4	5	2	4	4	2	3
Swiggy	3	3	3	3	5	3	3	3	4
Blinkit	3	3	3	3	4	2	3	3	4
Country Delight	3	2	3	2	3	3	3	5	3
Rummy Circle	3	4	2	2	3	4	2	3	4
Dream 11	3	3	2	6	1	2	1	0	2
Zupee	2	3	2	5	1	1	2	0	1
Britannia	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	2	5
Surf excel	2	2	1	1	2	1	2	1	1
Samsung	2	2	1	1	2	1	2	2	2
Dove	2	1	2	2	2	1	2	1	1
Colgate	2	2	2	1	2	1	1	3	3

On META's platforms younger respondents seem to recall seeing more ads more than their older ones

Top brands recalled	Overall	Male	Female	18-25 Years	26-29 Years	30-35 Years	36-45 Years	46-55 Years	56 Years or Older
YouTube	64	64	65	72	61	62	61	62	62
Facebook	19	19	19	21	18	21	20	16	16
Instagram	17	18	17	23	18	17	15	12	13

» Purchase/ Usage Intention

While recall may be low, percentage of respondents willing to buy the brands they recall is high/significant.

Top brands recalled	% respondent willing to use/ buy the product/ brand for which they saw the ad
Zepto	79
Zomato	66
Meesho	60
Nescafe	76
Flipkart	65
Amazon	60
Swiggy	63
Blinkit	66
Country delight	60
Rummy Circle	33
Dream 11	53
Zupee	45
Britania	66
Surf excel	85
Samsung	71
Dove	76
Colgate	78

» The maximum viewing time of the leading platforms is in the range of 1.07 to 1.25 hours/day

Mobile Video Viewership for top Apps and the time spent on them is fairly accurate when compared with physically verified data.

Top 10 APPS used <small>Base</small>	Apps Used		Avg. time spend on apps in a day	
	Unaided response (%) <small>3041</small>	Physically Verified (%) <small>2666</small>	Unaided response (In Hrs.)	Physically verified (In Hrs.)
YouTube / YouTube shorts	89	90	1.14	1.19
Instagram	73	75	1.07	1.18
Facebook	63	65	0.49	0.53
WhatsApp	62	70	0.5	0.53
Disney + HotStar	19	24	1.19	1.11
Snapchat	17	22	0.41	0.44
Amazon Prime	11	14	1.17	1.22
Netflix	9	12	1.25	1.14
Respondent didn't allow physical verification		12		
Avg. time spend on apps in a day			3.2 hrs	3.0 hrs

» Hours vs Number of Brands Recalled

Avg. time spend on apps in a day	Avg. No of Brands recalled
Overall	1.49
1 Hr	1.45
2 Hr	1.47
3 Hr	1.39
4 Hr	1.57
5 Hr	1.58
6 Hr	1.49
7 Hr	1.70
Above 7 Hr	1.63


A RSQ value of 0.59 – so there is a good correlation between the time spent and brand recall

» Ad viewing habits

Incidence of ad skipping and muting during playback is high. Majority felt that the ads streamed are irrelevant to them.



Ad Skipping




Muting Ads



Ad Relevance



Repetition of Ads



8% respondents said they love to watch ads but hate if its repeated

WhatsApp video behaviour

Videos received on WhatsApp generally get viewed and shared.

Video Viewing Habits on WhatsApp

- ▶ I don't get videos on WhatsApp
- ▶ I watch very few videos that I get on WhatsApp
- ▶ I watch only some of the videos that I get on WhatsApp
- ▶ I normally watch most of the videos that I get

12

14

36

38

Base People who have coded WhatsApp: 2268



Video Forwarding Habits on WhatsApp

- ▶ I don't forward videos that get on WhatsApp
- ▶ I normally forward very few videos that I get on WhatsApp
- ▶ I normally forward some of the videos that I get on WhatsApp
- ▶ I normally forward share most of the videos that I get on WhatsApp

15

14

47

25

Base: people who watch video on WhatsApp: 2004



» In conclusion «

We hope this study gives Advertisers some vital insights that will help them understand and contend with the challenges of advertising on digital platforms.

The performance metrics provided by various Digital Video platforms do not really throw much light on the impact of advertising on critical outcomes that determine ROI. The fact this media is becoming only more cluttered, fragmented and expensive to advertise on, is certainly not making things easier forcing many spenders to question what they are really getting.

Our intent at R K SWAMY Centre for Study of Indian Markets is to continue to explore this issue from a variety of dimensions to help provide advertisers with answers.

We welcome feedback and suggestions which may make this Study more useful for advertisers. We also plan to offer advertisers who would like to assess their brand performance the opportunity to participate in the forthcoming phases with their specific requirements (brands, markets, audiences or any other variable).

Please do get in touch with us at rkscsim@rkswamy.com

 **R K SWAMY**
CENTRE FOR STUDY
OF INDIAN MARKETS

hansa 
research